

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1047, -1075, -1076
(Serial Nos. 09/754,378, 09/321,597, and 09/543,735)

IN RE CRAIG W. BARNETT, KAREN R. REISNER,
and MARK BRAUNSTEIN

Judgment

ON APPEAL from the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

in CASE NO(S). 09/754,378, 09/321,597, and 09/543,735

This CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

Per Curiam (SCHALL, BRYSON, AND MOORE, Circuit Judges.)

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

OCT 11 2007

JAN HORBALY
CLERK

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

DATED OCT 11 2007

Jan Horbaly

Jan Horbaly, Clerk

CERTIFIED COPY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT
IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: DEC 3 2007

By *Samuel* Date: 12/3/07

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

Corrected: October 30, 2007

2007-1047, -1075, -1076
(Serial Nos. 09/754,378, 09/321,597, and 09/543,735)

IN RE CRAIG W. BARNETT, KAREN R. REISNER,
and MARK BRAUNSTEIN

Kevin T. Kramer, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, of Washington, DC, argued for appellants. With him on the brief were James G. Gatto, Scott J. Pivnick, and Robert M. Fuhrer, of McLean, Virginia.

Thomas L. Stoll, Associate Solicitor, Solicitor's Office, United States Patent and Trademark Office, of Arlington, Virginia, argued for appellee. With him on the brief were Stephen Walsh, Acting Solicitor, and Janet A. Gongola, Associate Solicitor.

Appealed from: United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

CERTIFIED COPY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT
IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

By: [Signature] Date: 12/3/07